

School of Computer Science Sillabus 2023-I

1. COURSE

CS3P3. Internet of Things (Mandatory)

2. GENERAL INFORMATION		
2.1 Course	:	CS3P3. Internet of Things
2.2 Semester	:	10^{mo} Semestre.
2.3 Credits	:	3
2.4 Horas	:	1 HT; 4 HP;
2.5 Duration of the period	:	16 weeks
2.6 Type of course	:	Mandatory
2.7 Learning modality	:	Blended
2.8 Prerrequisites	:	CS3P1. Parallel and Distributed Computing . (8^{th} Sem)
		CS3P1. Parallel and Distributed Computing . (8^{th} Sem)

3. PROFESSORS

Meetings after coordination with the professor

4. INTRODUCTION TO THE COURSE

The last decade has an explosive growth in multiprocessor computing, including multi-core processors and distributed data centers. As a result, parallel and distributed computing has evolved from a broadly elective subject to be one of the major components in mesh studies in undergraduate computer science. Both parallel computing and distribution involve the simultaneous execution of multiple processes on different devices that change position.

5. GOALS

• That the student is able to create parallel applications of medium complexity by efficiently taking advantage of different mobile devices.

6. COMPETENCES

- 1) Analyze a complex computing problem and to apply principles of computing and other relevant disciplines to identify solutions. (Usage)
- 2) Design, implement and evaluate a computing-based solution to meet a given set of computing requirements in the context of the program's discipline. (Usage)
- 6) Apply computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. (Usage)

7. TOPICS

Competences Expected:				
 Multiple simultaneous computations Goals of parallelism (e.g., throughput) versus concurrency (e.g., controlling access to shared resources) Parallelism, communication, and coordination Parallelism, communication, and coordination Need for synchronization Programming errors not found in sequential programming Data races (simultaneous read/write or write/write of shared state) Higher-level races (interleavings violating pro- 	 Learning Outcomes Distinguish using computational resources for faster answer from managing efficient access to shared resource [Familiarity] Distinguish multiple sufficient programming constructs for synchronization that may be interimplementable but have complementary advantages [Familiarity] Distinguish data races from higher level races [Familiarity] 			
gram intention, undesired non-determinism) – Lack of liveness/progress (deadlock, starvation)				

Unit 2: Parallel Architecture (12) **Competences Expected:** Topics Learning Outcomes • Multicore processors • Explain the differences between shared and dis-

- Shared vs distributed memory
- Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP)
- SIMD, vector processing
- GPU, co-processing
- Flynn's taxonomy
- Instruction level support for parallel programming
 - Atomic instructions such as Compare and Set
- Memory issues
 - Multiprocessor caches and cache coherence
 - Non-uniform memory access (NUMA)
- Topologies
 - Interconnects
 - Clusters
 - Resource sharing (e.g., buses and interconnects)

tributed memory [Assessment]

- Describe the SMP architecture and note its key features [Assessment]
- Characterize the kinds of tasks that are a natural match for SIMD machines [Usage]
- Describe the advantages and limitations of GPUs vs CPUs [Usage]
- Explain the features of each classification in Flynn's taxonomy [Usage]
- Describe the challenges in maintaining cache coherence [Familiarity]
- Describe the key performance challenges in different memory and distributed system topologies [Familiarity

Readings : [Pac11], [KH13], [SK10]

Competences Expected:				
Topics	Learning Outcomes			
 Need for communication and coordination/synchronization Independence and partitioning Basic knowledge of parallel decomposition concept Task-based decomposition Implementation strategies such as threads Data-parallel decomposition Strategies such as SIMD and MapReduce Actors and reactive processes (e.g., request handlers) 	 Explain why synchronization is necessary in a specific parallel program [Usage] Identify opportunities to partition a serial program into independent parallel modules [Familiarity] Write a correct and scalable parallel algorithm [Usage] Parallelize an algorithm by applying task-based decomposition [Usage] Parallelize an algorithm by applying data-parallel decomposition [Usage] Write a program using actors and/or reactive processes [Usage] 			
Readings : [Pac11], [Mat14], [Qui03]				

ics	Learning Outcomes
 Shared Memory Consistency, and its role in programming language guarantees for data-race-free programs Message passing Point-to-point versus multicast (or event-based) messages Blocking versus non-blocking styles for sending and receiving messages Message buffering (cross-reference PF/Fundamental Data Structures/Queues) Atomicity Specifying and testing atomicity and safety requirements Granularity of atomic accesses and updates, and the use of constructs such as critical sections or transactions to describe them Mutual Exclusion using locks, semaphores, monitors, or related constructs * Potential for liveness failures and deadlock (causes, conditions, prevention) Composition * Composing larger granularity atomic actions using synchronization * Transactions, including optimistic and conservative approaches Consensus (Cyclic) barriers, counters, or related constructs Conditional actions Conditional waiting (e.g., using condition variables) 	 Use mutual exclusion to avoid a given race cotion [Usage] Give an example of an ordering of accesses an concurrent activities (eg, program with a data r that is not sequentially consistent [Familiarity] Give an example of a scenario in which blocking r sage sends can deadlock [Usage] Explain when and why multicast or event-based r saging can be preferable to alternatives [Familia Write a program that correctly terminates when of a set of concurrent tasks have completed [Usa Give an example of a scenario in which an attemp optimistic update may never complete [Familiar Use semaphores or condition variables to b threads until a necessary precondition holds [Usa

Competences Expected:		
opics	Learning Outcomes	
• Critical paths, work and span, and the relation to Amdahl's law	• Define "critical path", "work", and "span" [Familia ity]	
 Speed-up and scalability Naturally (embarrassingly) parallel algorithms Parallel algorithmic patterns (divide-and-conquer, map and reduce, master-workers, others) Specific algorithms (e.g., parallel MergeSort) Parallel graph algorithms (e.g., parallel shortest path, parallel spanning tree) (cross-reference AL/Algorithmic Strategies/Divide-and-conquer) Parallel matrix computations Producer-consumer and pipelined algorithms Examples of non-scalable parallel algorithms 	 Compute the work and span, and determine the criical path with respect to a parallel execution di gram [Usage] Define "speed-up" and explain the notion of an alg rithm's scalability in this regard [Familiarity] Identify independent tasks in a program that may be parallelized [Usage] Characterize features of a workload that allow or privent it from being naturally parallelized [Familiarity] Implement a parallel divide-and-conquer (and/digraph algorithm) and empirically measure its performance relative to its sequential analog [Usage] Decompose a problem (eg, counting the number occurrences of some word in a document) via ma and reduce operations [Usage] Provide an example of a problem that fits the producer-consumer paradigm [Usage] Give examples of problems where pipelining wou be an effective means of parallelization [Usage] Implement a parallel matrix algorithm [Usage] 	
	 Identify issues that arise in producer-consumer a gorithms and mechanisms that may be used for a dressing them [Usage] 	

Topics	Learning Outcomes	
 Load balancing Performance measurement Scheduling and contention (cross-reference OS/Scheduling and Dispatch) Evaluating communication overhead Data management Non-uniform communication costs due to proximity (cross-reference SF/Proximity) Cache effects (e.g., false sharing) Maintaining spatial locality Power usage and management 	 Detect and correct a load imbalance [Usage] Calculate the implications of Amdahl's law for a particular parallel algorithm (cross-reference SF/Evaluation for Amdahl's Law) [Usage] Describe how data distribution/layout can affect at algorithm's communication costs [Familiarity] Detect and correct an instance of false sharing [Us age] Explain the impact of scheduling on parallel perfor mance [Familiarity] Explain performance impacts of data locality [Familiarity] Explain the impact and trade-off related to power usage on parallel performance [Familiarity] 	

8. WORKPLAN

8.1 Methodology

Individual and team participation is encouraged to present their ideas, motivating them with additional points in the different stages of the course evaluation.

8.2 Theory Sessions

The theory sessions are held in master classes with activities including active learning and roleplay to allow students to internalize the concepts.

8.3 Practical Sessions

The practical sessions are held in class where a series of exercises and/or practical concepts are developed through problem solving, problem solving, specific exercises and/or in application contexts.

9. EVALUATION SYSTEM

***** EVALUATION MISSING *******

10. BASIC BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [KH13] David B. Kirk and Wen-mei W. Hwu. Programming Massively Parallel Processors: A Hands-on Approach. 2nd. Morgan Kaufmann, 2013. ISBN: 978-0-12-415992-1.
- [Mat14] Norm Matloff. Programming on Parallel Machines. University of California, Davis, 2014. URL: http://heather.cs.ucdavi
- [Pac11] Peter S. Pacheco. An Introduction to Parallel Programming. 1st. Morgan Kaufmann, 2011. ISBN: 978-0-12-374260-5.
- [Qui03] Michael J. Quinn. Parallel Programming in C with MPI and OpenMP. 1st. McGraw-Hill Education Group, 2003. ISBN: 0071232656.
- [SK10] Jason Sanders and Edward Kandrot. CUDA by Example: An Introduction to General-Purpose GPU Programming. 1st. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2010. ISBN: 0131387685, 9780131387683.