Peruvian Computing Society (SPC)



School of Computer Science Sillabus 2023-I

1. COURSE

CS291. Software Engineering I (Mandatory)

2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 Course : CS291. Software Engineering I

2.2 Semester : 5^{to} Semestre.

2.3 Credits : 4

2.4 Horas : 2 HT; 4 HP;

2.5 Duration of the period : 16 weeks
2.6 Type of course : Mandatory
2.7 Learning modality : Blended

2.8 Prerrequisites

• CS113. Computer Science II. (3rd Sem)

• CS271. Data Management. (4th Sem)

• CS113. Computer Science II. (3rd Sem)

• CS271. Data Management. (4th Sem)

3. PROFESSORS

Meetings after coordination with the professor

4. INTRODUCTION TO THE COURSE

The aim of developing software, except for extremely simple applications, requires the execution of a well-defined development process. Professionals in this area require a high degree of knowledge of the different models and development process, so that they are able to choose the most suitable for each development project. On the other hand, the development of medium and large-scale systems requires the use of pattern and component libraries and the mastery of techniques related to component-based design

5. GOALS

- Provide the student with a theoretical and practical framework for the development of software under quality standards.
- Familiarize the student with the software modeling and construction processes through the use of CASE tools.
- Students should be able to select architectures and ad-hoc technology platforms for deployment scenarios
- Applying component-based modeling to ensure variables such as quality, cost, and time-to-market in development processes.
- Provide students with best practices for software verification and validation.

6. COMPETENCES

- 1) Analyze a complex computing problem and to apply principles of computing and other relevant disciplines to identify solutions. (Usage)
- 2) Design, implement and evaluate a computing-based solution to meet a given set of computing requirements in the context of the program's discipline. (Usage)
- 3) Communicate effectively in a variety of professional contexts. (Usage)
- 6) Apply computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. (Assessment)

Unit 1: Requirements Engineering (18) Competences Expected: Topics **Learning Outcomes** • Describing functional requirements using, for exam-• List the key components of a use case or similar deple, use cases or users stories scription of some behavior that is required for a system [Assessment] • Properties of requirements including consistency, validity, completeness, and feasibility • Describe how the requirements engineering process supports the elicitation and validation of behavioral • Software requirements elicitation requirements [Assessment] • Describing system data using, for example, class di-• Interpret a given requirements model for a simple agrams or entity-relationship diagrams software system [Assessment] • Non functional requirements and their relationship • Describe the fundamental challenges of and common to software quality techniques used for requirements elicitation [Assessment] • Evaluation and use of requirements specifications • List the key components of a data model (eg, class • Requirements analysis modeling techniques diagrams or ER diagrams) [Assessment] • Acceptability of certainty / uncertainty considera-• Identify both functional and non-functional requiretions regarding software / system behavior ments in a given requirements specification for a soft- Prototyping ware system [Assessment] • Basic concepts of formal requirements specification • Conduct a review of a set of software requirements to determine the quality of the requirements with • Requirements specification respect to the characteristics of good requirements [Assessment] • Requirements validation • Apply key elements and common methods for elici-• Requirements tracing tation and analysis to produce a set of software requirements for a medium-sized software system [Assessment] • Compare the plan-driven and agile approaches to requirements specification and validation and describe the benefits and risks associated with each [Assessment] • Use a common, non-formal method to model and specify the requirements for a medium-size software system [Assessment] • Translate into natural language a software requirements specification (eg, a software component contract) written in a formal specification language [Assessment] • Create a prototype of a software system to mitigate risk in requirements [Assessment] • Differentiate between forward and backward tracing and explain their roles in the requirements validation process [Assessment]

Readings: [ES14], [HF03]

Topics

- System design principles: levels of abstraction (architectural design and detailed design), separation of concerns, information hiding, coupling and cohesion, re-use of standard structures
- Design Paradigms such as structured design (topdown functional decomposition), object-oriented analysis and design, event driven design, componentlevel design, data-structured centered, aspect oriented, function oriented, service oriented
- Structural and behavioral models of software designs
- Design patterns
- Relationships between requirements and designs: transformation of models, design of contracts, invariants
- Software architecture concepts and standard architectures (e.g. client-server, n-layer, transform centered, pipes-and-filters)
- The use of component desing: component selection, design, adaptation and assembly of components, component and patterns, components and objects (for example, building a GUI using a standar widget set)
- Refactoring designs using design patterns
- Internal design qualities, and models for them: efficiency and performance, redundacy and fault tolerance, traceability of requeriments
- Measurement and analysis of design quality
- Tradeoffs between different aspects of quality
- Application frameworks
- Middleware: the object-oriented paradigm within middleware, object request brokers and marshalling, transaction processing monitors, workflow systems
- \bullet Principles of secure design and coding
 - Principle of least privilege
 - Principle of fail-safe defaults
 - Principle of psychological acceptability

Learning Outcomes

- Articulate design principles including separation of concerns, information hiding, coupling and cohesion, and encapsulation [Familiarity]
- Use a design paradigm to design a simple software system, and explain how system design principles have been applied in this design [Usage]
- Construct models of the design of a simple software system that are appropriate for the paradigm used to design it [Usage]
- Within the context of a single design paradigm, describe one or more design patterns that could be applicable to the design of a simple software system [Familiarity]
- For a simple system suitable for a given scenario, discuss and select an appropriate design paradigm [Usage]
- Create appropriate models for the structure and behavior of software products from their requirements specifications [Usage]
- Explain the relationships between the requirements for a software product and its design, using appropriate models [Assessment]
- For the design of a simple software system within the context of a single design paradigm, describe the software architecture of that system [Familiarity]
- Given a high-level design, identify the software architecture by differentiating among common software architectures such as 3-tier, pipe-and-filter, and client-server [Familiarity]
- Investigate the impact of software architectures selection on the design of a simple system [Assessment]
- Apply simple examples of patterns in a software design [Usage]
- Describe a form of refactoring and discuss when it may be applicable [Familiarity]
- Select suitable components for use in the design of a software product [Usage]
- Explain how suitable components might need to be adapted for use in the design of a software product [Familiarity]
- Design a contract for a typical small software component for use in a given system [Usage]
- Discuss and select appropriate software architecture for a simple system suitable for a given scenario [Usage]
- Apply models for internal and external qualities in designing software components to achieve an acceptable trade of the tracer conflicting quality expects.

J

ompetences Expected:	
pics	Learning Outcomes
 Coding practices: techniques, idioms/patterns, mechanisms for building quality programs Defensive coding practices Secure coding practices Using exception handling mechanisms to make programs more robust, fault-tolerant Coding standards Integration strategies Development context: "green field" vs. existing code base 	 Describe techniques, coding idioms and mechanis for implementing designs to achieve desired properties such as reliability, efficiency, and robustness [Assessment] Build robust code using exception handling mechanisms [Assessment] Describe secure coding and defensive coding predices [Assessment] Select and use a defined coding standard in a smesoftware project [Assessment] Compare and contrast integration strategies including top-down, bottom-up, and sandwich integrate [Assessment] Describe the process of analyzing and implement changes to code base developed for a specific project [Assessment] Describe the process of analyzing and implement changes to a large existing code base [Assessment] Rewrite a simple program to remove common vulnabilities, such as buffer overflows, integer overflow and race conditions [Assessment] Write a software component that performs some not trivial task and is resilient to input and run-tierrors [Assessment]

8. WORKPLAN

8.1 Methodology

Individual and team participation is encouraged to present their ideas, motivating them with additional points in the different stages of the course evaluation.

8.2 Theory Sessions

The theory sessions are held in master classes with activities including active learning and roleplay to allow students to internalize the concepts.

8.3 Practical Sessions

The practical sessions are held in class where a series of exercises and/or practical concepts are developed through problem solving, problem solving, specific exercises and/or in application contexts.

9. EVALUATION SYSTEM

****** EVALUATION MISSING ******

10. BASIC BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [ES14] Bert Bates Eric Freeman Elisabeth Robson and Kathy Sierra. *Head First Design Patterns*. 2nd. O'Reilly Media, Inc, July 2014.
- [HF03] Brian Lyons Hans-Erik Eriksson Magnus Penker and Davis Fado. UML 2 Toolkit. 2nd. Wiley, Oct. 2003.