

## 1. COURSE

CS342. Compilers (Mandatory)

## 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

- 2.1 Credits : 4
- 2.2 Theory Hours : 2 (Weekly)
- 2.3 Practice Hours : 2 (Weekly)
- 2.4 Duration of the period : 16 weeks
- 2.5 Type of course : Mandatory
- 2.6 Modality : Face to face
- 2.7 Prerequisites : CS211. Theory of Computation. (4<sup>th</sup> Sem)

## 3. PROFESSORS

Meetings after coordination with the professor

## 4. INTRODUCTION TO THE COURSE

That the student knows and understands the concepts and fundamental principles of the theory of compilation to realize the construction of a compiler

## 5. GOALS

- Know the basic techniques used during the process of intermediate generation, optimization and code generation.
- Learning to implement small compilers.

## 6. COMPETENCES

- a) An ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science. (**Assessment**)
- b) An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data. (**Assessment**)
- j) Apply the mathematical basis, principles of algorithms and the theory of Computer Science in the modeling and design of computational systems in such a way as to demonstrate understanding of the equilibrium points involved in the chosen option. (**Assessment**)

## 7. SPECIFIC COMPETENCES

- a2) Use logical propositions in an orderly manner. ()
- a4) Apply efficient techniques for solving computer problems. ()
- a6) Apply finite-state machine and automaton techniques in the resolution of computer problems. ()
- a7) Apply techniques and knowledge of computer architecture for the generation and optimization of code. ()
- b1) Identify and efficiently apply various algorithmic strategies and data structures for the solution of a problem given certain space and time constraints. ()
- j2) Apply graph and tree theory for optimization and problem solving ()

## 8. TOPICS

<b>Unit 1: Program Representation (5)</b>	
<b>Competences Expected: a,b</b>	
<b>Topics</b>	<b>Learning Outcomes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Programs that take (other) programs as input such as interpreters, compilers, type-checkers, documentation generators</li> <li>• Abstract syntax trees; contrast with concrete syntax</li> <li>• Data structures to represent code for execution, translation, or transmission</li> <li>• Just-in-time compilation and dynamic recompilation</li> <li>• Other common features of virtual machines, such as class loading, threads, and security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explain how programs that process other programs treat the other programs as their input data [Familiarity]</li> <li>• Describe an abstract syntax tree for a small language [Familiarity]</li> <li>• Describe the benefits of having program representations other than strings of source code [Familiarity]</li> <li>• Write a program to process some representation of code for some purpose, such as an interpreter, an expression optimizer, or a documentation generator [Familiarity]</li> <li>• Explain the use of metadata in run-time representations of objects and activation records, such as class pointers, array lengths, return addresses, and frame pointers [Familiarity]</li> <li>• Discuss advantages, disadvantages, and difficulties of just-in-time and dynamic recompilation [Familiarity]</li> <li>• Identify the services provided by modern language run-time systems [Familiarity]</li> </ul>
<b>Readings :</b> [Lou04b]	

<b>Unit 2: Language Translation and Execution (10)</b>	
<b>Competences Expected: a,b,j</b>	
<b>Topics</b>	<b>Learning Outcomes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interpretation vs. compilation to native code vs. compilation to portable intermediate representation</li> <li>• Language translation pipeline: parsing, optional type-checking, translation, linking, execution <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Execution as native code or within a virtual machine</li> <li>– Alternatives like dynamic loading and dynamic (or “just-in-time”) code generation</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Run-time representation of core language constructs such as objects (method tables) and first-class functions (closures)</li> <li>• Run-time layout of memory: call-stack, heap, static data <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Implementing loops, recursion, and tail calls</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Memory management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Manual memory management: allocating, de-allocating, and reusing heap memory</li> <li>– Automated memory management: garbage collection as an automated technique using the notion of reachability</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Distinguish a language definition (what constructs mean) from a particular language implementation (compiler vs interpreter, run-time representation of data objects, etc) [Assessment]</li> <li>• Distinguish syntax and parsing from semantics and evaluation [Assessment]</li> <li>• Sketch a low-level run-time representation of core language constructs, such as objects or closures [Assessment]</li> <li>• Explain how programming language implementations typically organize memory into global data, text, heap, and stack sections and how features such as recursion and memory management map to this memory model [Assessment]</li> <li>• Identify and fix memory leaks and dangling-pointer dereferences [Assessment]</li> <li>• Discuss the benefits and limitations of garbage collection, including the notion of reachability [Assessment]</li> </ul>
<b>Readings :</b> [Aho+11], [Lou04a], [App02], [TS98]	

<b>Unit 3: Syntax Analysis (10)</b>	
<b>Competences Expected: a,b,j</b>	
<b>Topics</b>	<b>Learning Outcomes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scanning (lexical analysis) using regular expressions</li> <li>• Parsing strategies including top-down (e.g., recursive descent, Earley parsing, or LL) and bottom-up (e.g., backtracking or LR) techniques; role of context-free grammars</li> <li>• Generating scanners and parsers from declarative specifications</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use formal grammars to specify the syntax of languages [Assessment]</li> <li>• Use declarative tools to generate parsers and scanners [Assessment]</li> <li>• Identify key issues in syntax definitions: ambiguity, associativity, precedence [Assessment]</li> </ul>
<b>Readings :</b> [Aho+11], [Lou04a], [App02], [TS98]	

Unit 4: Compiler Semantic Analysis (15)	
Competences Expected: a,b,j	
Topics	Learning Outcomes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High-level program representations such as abstract syntax trees</li> <li>• Scope and binding resolution</li> <li>• Type checking</li> <li>• Declarative specifications such as attribute grammars</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implement context-sensitive, source-level static analyses such as type-checkers or resolving identifiers to identify their binding occurrences [Assessment]</li> <li>• Describe semantic analyses using an attribute grammar [Assessment]</li> </ul>
Readings : [Aho+11], [Lou04a], [App02], [TS98]	

Unit 5: Code Generation (20)	
Competences Expected: a,b,j	
Topics	Learning Outcomes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Procedure calls and method dispatching</li> <li>• Separate compilation; linking</li> <li>• Instruction selection</li> <li>• Instruction scheduling</li> <li>• Register allocation</li> <li>• Peephole optimization</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify all essential steps for automatically converting source code into assembly or other low-level languages [Assessment]</li> <li>• Generate the low-level code for calling functions/methods in modern languages [Assessment]</li> <li>• Discuss why separate compilation requires uniform calling conventions [Assessment]</li> <li>• Discuss why separate compilation limits optimization because of unknown effects of calls [Assessment]</li> <li>• Discuss opportunities for optimization introduced by naive translation and approaches for achieving optimization, such as instruction selection, instruction scheduling, register allocation, and peephole optimization [Assessment]</li> </ul>
Readings : [Aho+11], [Lou04a], [App02], [TS98]	

## 9. WORKPLAN

### 9.1 Methodology

Individual and team participation is encouraged to present their ideas, motivating them with additional points in the different stages of the course evaluation.

### 9.2 Theory Sessions

The theory sessions are held in master classes with activities including active learning and roleplay to allow students to internalize the concepts.

### 9.3 Practical Sessions

The practical sessions are held in class where a series of exercises and/or practical concepts are developed through problem solving, problem solving, specific exercises and/or in application contexts.

## 10. EVALUATION SYSTEM

\*\*\*\*\* EVALUATION MISSING \*\*\*\*\*

## 11. BASIC BIBLIOGRAPHY

[Aho+11] Alfred Aho et al. *Compilers Principles Techniques And Tools*. 2nd. ISBN:10-970-26-1133-4. Pearson, 2011.

[App02] A. W. Appel. *Modern compiler implementation in Java*. 2.a edición. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

- [Lou04a] Kenneth C. Louden. *Compiler Construction: Principles and Practice*. Thomson, 2004.
- [Lou04b] Kenneth C. Louden. *Lenguajes de Programacion*. Thomson, 2004.
- [TS98] Bernard Teufel and Stephanie Schmidt. *Fundamentos de Compiladores*. Addison Wesley Iberoamericana, 1998.